### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
PAUL FENSTER
FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD
P.O. BOX 10256
49002 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

49002 PET	ACH TIKVA, I	SRAEL				IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)	93 FEB 2006
Applicant's	or agent's file r	eference			FOR FURTHER	RACTION
414/04404						See paragraph 2 below
Internationa	l application No	),	Internatio	nal filing date (	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/IL05/0	0140		04 Februa	ary 2005 (04.02	.2005)	05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)
Internationa	l Patent Classifi	ication (IPC) o	or both nati	onal classificati	on and IPC	
	H 1/02 and US	Cl.: 601/5, 33	}			-
Applicant		·				
REABILITY	Y INC.					
1. This op	inion contains i	ndications rela	ating to the	following item	s:	
$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion	•		
一	Box No. II	Priority	· <b>r</b> ·			
	Box No. III	•	shment of o	opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability
$\boxtimes$	Box No. IV	Lack of uni	ty of invent	tion		
$\boxtimes$	Box No. V				.1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such s	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. VI	Certain doc	uments cite	d		
	Box No. VⅡ	Certain defe	ects in the i	nternational app	plication	
	Box No. VIII	Certain obs	ervations o	n the internation	ual application	
2. FURT	HER ACTIO	N				
Internat Authori	tional Prelimina ty other than th	ary Examinin is one to be t	g Authorit the IPEA a	y ("IPEA") ex nd the chosen l	cept that this does	l be considered to be a written opinion of the s not apply where the applicant chooses an he International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) dered.
IPEA a	written reply to	gether, where	e appropria	te, with amendi	ments, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the applicant is invited to submit to the applicant of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.
For furt	her options, see	Form PCT/IS	SA/220.			
	her details, see				tion of this opinion	Authorized officer
	iil Stop PCT, Attr			-	- \	March & 19th
Co	mmissioner for Pa			11 January 2006	مور (11 <u>.01.2</u> 006)	Danton DeMille
	). Box 1450 exandria, Virginia	22313-1450	-			Telephone No. (571) 272-3700
	o. (571) 273-320					1010phone No. (5/1) 2/2-3/00

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/IL05/00140	

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of
$\boxtimes$	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
ъ.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additi	ional comments:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/IL05/00140

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:  paid additional fees  paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee  paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid  not paid additional fees
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to
pay additional fees.  3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
complied with
not complied with for the following reasons:
See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:  all parts.
the parts relating to claims Nos. <u>1-8</u>

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/IL05/00140

Claims 1-8  Inventive step (IS)  Claims NONE  Claims 1-8  Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims 1-8	Claims NONE Claims 1-8  Claims 1-8  Claims 1-8  Claims 1-8  Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Claims 1-8  Claims NONE	nb in a my poir
Inventive step (IS)  Claims NONE  Claims 1-8  Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Claims NONE  Citations and explanations:  Industrial applicability (IA)  Citations and explanations:  Industrial applicability citations and explanations are applicable	Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Claims 1-8  Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Claims N	NCYENCYENCNCncncncncncncncn
Inventive step (IS)  Claims NONE  Claims 1-8  Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Claims NONE  Citations and explanations:  Industrial applicability (IA)  Citations and explanations:  Industrial applicability citations and explanations are applicable	Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Claims 1-8  Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Claims N	YENONO
Claims 1-8  Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Citations and explanations:  Citati	Claims 1-8  Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Claims NONE  Claims NONE  A novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Erlandson. As broadly claimed, Erlandson teaches a that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's lim ast 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in an that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. It is at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.  The criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claim.	YE NO
Claims 1-8  Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Citations and explanations:  Citati	Claims 1-8  Claims 1-8  Claims NONE  Claims NONE  Claims NONE  A novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Erlandson. As broadly claimed, Erlandson teaches a that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's lim ast 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in an that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. It is at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.  The criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claim.	YE NO
Citations and explanations:  citations and explanations and explanat	Claims NONE  Indexplanations:  It novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Erlandson. As broadly claimed, Erlandson teaches a that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's limple ast 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in an that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. The sat least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.  The criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claim.	frame nb in a
Citations and explanations:  citations and explanations and explanat	Claims NONE  Indexplanations:  It novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Erlandson. As broadly claimed, Erlandson teaches a that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's limple ast 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in an that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. The sat least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.  The criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claim.	frame nb in a
Citations and explanations:  tims 1-8 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Erlandson. As broadly claimed, Erlandson teaches a france tuator 12 that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's limb is ume of at least 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in any direction that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. The rice includes at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.	nd explanations:  k novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Erlandson. As broadly claimed, Erlandson teaches a that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's lin ast 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in a 1 that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. It is at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.  Set the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claim	frame nb in a
ims 1-8 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Erlandson. As broadly claimed, Erlandson teaches a francetuator 12 that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's limb is tume of at least 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in any sury direction that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. The rice includes at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.	k novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Erlandson. As broadly claimed, Erlandson teaches a that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's lin ast 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in a 1 that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. It is at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.  The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in a 1 that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. It is at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.	nb in a my poi
ims 1-8 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Erlandson. As broadly claimed, Erlandson teaches a francituator 12 that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's limb is time of at least 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in any invariant in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. The ice includes at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.	k novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Erlandson. As broadly claimed, Erlandson teaches a that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's lin ast 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in a 1 that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. It is at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.  The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in a 1 that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. It is at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.	nb in a my poi

#### NOTESTO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under Article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article," "Rule" and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively

### INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file imendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When? Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to !!le the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How? Either by cancelling one or more entire claims. It adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

#### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.